The Diphoton Excess Inspired Electroweak Baryogenesis

Wei Chao[1,*]

[1] *Amherst Center for Fundamental Interactions, Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts-Amherst Amherst, MA 01003, United States*

A resonance in the diphoton channel with invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma} = 750$ GeV was claimed by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations at the run-2 LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. In this paper, we explain this diphoton excess as a pseudo-scalar singlet, which is produced at the LHC through gluon fusion with exotic scalar quarks running in the loop. We point out the scalar singlet might trigger a two-step electroweak phase transition, which can be strongly first oder. By assuming there are CP violations associated with interactions of the scalar quarks, the model is found to be able to generate adequate baryon asymmetry of the Universe through the electroweak baryogenesis mechanism. Constraints on the model are studied.

arXiv:1601.04678v1 [hep-ph] 18 Jan 2016

Introduction An excess in the diphoton channel with invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 750$ GeV was observed by both the ATLAS [1] and CMS [2] collaborations at the run-2 LHC. The significance is 3.6σ for the ATLAS and 2.6σ for the CMS. According to the Landau-Yang theorem [3, 4], the resonance might be a spin-0/2 state. The gluon fusion or heavy quark production of the resonance is favored since the run-1 LHC did not see the resonance. There are many interesting interpretations [5–48] of the excess as manifestations of various new physics models. The diphoton excess, should it exist, would offer new possibilities for addressing problems that can not be solely solved in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics.

The origin of the baryon asymmetry (BAU) is one unsolved problem in cosmology. From Planck [49] and WMAP [50], one has $Y_B \equiv \rho_B/s = (8.59 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-11}$, where ρ_B and s are the baryon number density and entropy density respectively. Starting with a matter-antimatter symmetric Universe at the end of the inflationary epoch, new dynamics is needed to generate the observed BAU during the subsequent cosmological evolution, which should satisfy three Sakharov criteria [51]: (1) baryon number violation; (2) C and CP violation; (3) A departure from the thermal equilibrium. Among all available scenarios, electroweak baryogenesis mechanism (EWBG) [52] is the most attractive one, as it might be tested at both high energy colliders and low energy electric dipole moment (EDM) measurements [53].

In this letter, we explain both the 750 GeV diphoton excess and the baryon asymmetry in a simple model as a prototype of new physics behind. The resonance is explained as the CP-odd component of a scalar singlet S, produced via loop induced gluon fusion with scalar quarks running in the loop. S on the other hand might trigger a two-step electroweak phase transition (EWPT) as required by the EWBG. CP-violating interactions of scalar quarks on the expanding bubble wall may generate nonzero charge densities, diffused into the plasma, which can be converted into a density of SM Higgs via the trilinear interaction, and then be translated into a net densities of left-handed fermions n_L via inelastic Yukawa interactions. While n_L serves as the source of generating baryon asymmetry through the weak sphaleron process. As will be seen in the next section the model might be embedded into a general NMSSM model [54] extended with vector-like quarks. Constraints on the model are briefly discussed.

The model We extend the SM with a complex scalar singlet S, an exotic scalar quark doublet $\tilde{Q}$ transforming as $(3,\ 2,\ 7/6)$ under the SM gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$, and a scalar quark singlet $\tilde{T}$, transforming as $(3,\ 1,\ 2/3)$. $\tilde{Q}$ and $\tilde{T}$ can superpartners of the certain vector-like femrions. The Higgs potential can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= -\mu_h^2 H^\dagger H + \mu_s^2 S^\dagger S + \lambda (H^\dagger H)^2 + \lambda_1 S^\dagger S H^\dagger H \\ &\quad + \lambda_s (S^\dagger S)^2 + \{-\mu_a^2 S^2 + \lambda_2 S^2 H^\dagger H + h.c.\}\ , \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where $H = (H^+,\ \{h+v+iG\}/\sqrt{2})^T$ and $S = (s+ia)/\sqrt{2}$, with $v = 246$ GeV from precision measurements. We further assume there is no CP violation in the potential, such that μ_a^2 and λ_2 are both real. Interactions between the Higgs and scalar quarks take the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \ni\ & A_1 S \tilde{T}^\dagger \tilde{T} + A_2 S \tilde{Q}^\dagger \tilde{Q} + A_3 \tilde{Q}^\dagger H \tilde{T} + \\ & M_{\tilde{T}}^2 \tilde{T}^\dagger \tilde{T} + M_{\tilde{Q}}^2 \tilde{Q}^\dagger \tilde{Q} + a_4 \tilde{Q}^\dagger H S \tilde{T} + \text{h.c.}\ . \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Interactions in Eq. (2) can actually embedded into a general NMSSM [54] extended with vector like fermions $\hat{Q}$, $\hat{T}$, where new terms in superpotential are

$$\begin{aligned} W \ni\ & \hat{\lambda} \hat{S} \hat{H}_u \hat{H}_d + Y \hat{Q} \hat{H}_d \hat{T}_R^c + Y_2 \hat{S} \hat{T}_L \hat{T}_R^c + Y_3 \hat{S} \hat{Q}_L \hat{Q}_R^c \\ & + M_1 \hat{T}_L \hat{T}_R^c + M_2 \hat{Q}_L \hat{Q}_R^c\ . \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

In this case terms proportional to $A_{1,2,3,4}$ in Eq. (2) come from F-terms, while mass terms come from the soft supersymmetry breaking Lagrangian. There are some other F-terms arsing from Eq. (3), we omit them for simplification. In the following, we only focus on the phenomenology induced by Eqs. (1) and (2), leaving a systematic study of the full theory to a future work.

By assuming $\mu_s^2 - 2\mu_a^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2) v^2 > 0$, S gets no vacuum expectation value (VEV) at the zero temperature. The mass eigenvalues of h, s and a can be written

as $m_h^2 = 2\lambda v^2$, $m_s^2 = \mu_s^2 - 2\mu_a^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)v^2$, $m_a^2 = \mu_s^2 + 2\mu_a^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2)v^2$. Apparently the mass difference of a and s can be arbitrary. In the rest of this paper we set $\lambda_2 = 0$ for simplification. There is no mixing between scalars.



FIG. 1: Feynman diagram for the production of the resonance at the LHC.

Diphoton excess Both the ATLAS and CMS collaborations have observed the diphoton excess of invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 750$ GeV at the run-2 LHC with center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The cross sections of the excess can be estimated as $\sigma(pp \to \gamma\gamma) \approx (6 \pm 3)$ fb for CMS and (10 ± 3) fb for ATLAS. In this section we explain this resonance as the signal of the CP-odd scalar a in our model. It can be produced via gluon fusion and decay into diphoton with scalar quarks running in the loop. The relevant feynman diagram is given in Fig. 1. The signal cross section at the LHC can be written as

$$\sigma(pp \to a \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{1}{sM_a\Gamma_a} C_{gg}\Gamma_{gg}\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \qquad (4)$$

where M_a and Γ_a are the mass eigenvalue and total rate of a respectively; C_{gg} is the dimensionless partonic integral and one has $C_{gg} \approx 3163$ [5] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV; Γ_{gg} and $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ are decay rates of a to digluon and diphoton respectively, which take the following form

$$\Gamma_{gg} = \frac{\alpha_s^2 M^3}{128\pi^3}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{2}\frac{\sqrt{2}(1+\delta_{i2})\mathrm{Im}A_i}{2M_i^2} f(\tau_i)\right|^2 , \qquad (5)$$

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_e^2 M^3}{1024\pi^3}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{2}\frac{\sqrt{2}C_i\mathrm{Im}A_i}{M_i^2} f(\tau_i)\right|^2 , \qquad (6)$$

with $C_1 = 4/3$ and $C_2 = 29/3$. The expression of $f(x)$ can be found in Ref. [55]. s might also decay into diphoton or digluon with the amplitude proportional to $\mathrm{Re}A_i$. Since LHC did not see any other diphoton excess, we assume A_i is purely imaginary.

From Eqs. (5,6), one might estimate the size of the ratio $r \equiv \Gamma_{gg}/\Gamma_{rr}$, which is the function of $|A_i|$ and $M_{\tilde{T},\tilde{Q}}$. By assuming $|A_1| \approx |A_2|$ and $M_{\tilde{T}} \approx M_{\tilde{Q}}$ one has $r = 19.6$. Using the same assumptions, we plot in the $M_{\tilde{T}} - \Gamma_a$ plane the region that has $\sigma(gg \to a \to \gamma\gamma) \in (3,\ 13)$ fb. The magenta region corresponds to $|A_i| = 3.0$ TeV while the yellow region corresponds to $|A_i| = 1.5$ TeV. It is clear that the best fit width, $\Gamma = 45$ GeV from ATLAS, can be realized in this model only for relatively large $|A_i|$ scenario. So far a can only decay to digluon and diphoton. Extra decay channels might open if there is CP violation in the potential. For example, $\alpha|S^2|S + \beta SH^\dagger H$ terms may lead to the process $a \to 2s, 2h$. We leave the study of decays of a to a future project.



FIG. 2: Regions in the $M_{\tilde{X}} - \Gamma_a$ plane that have $\sigma(gg \to a \to \gamma\gamma) \in (3,\ 13)$ fb for $|A_i| = 1.5$ TeV (yellow) and 3.0 TeV (magenta) respectively.

Finally let us check constraints on the model from the run-1 LHC. For a resonance with $M = 750\ GeV$ and $\Gamma = 45$ GeV, the upper bounds on cross sections of various final states at the 95% CL are $\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{ZZ}} < 12$ fb [56], $\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{WW}} < 40$ fb [57], $\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{hh}} < 39$ fb [58] and $\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR}_{jj} < 2.5$ pb [59]. The first three bounds constrain the mixing between a and h, which is exactly zero for our case. For the dijet constraint, the bound is $\Gamma(a \to gg)/\Gamma(a \to \gamma\gamma) < 1222$ [5]. While in our case, $r \sim \mathcal{O}(20)$, which is far below the current constraint.

EWPT The dynamics of the EWPT, which occurred about 10^{-10} second after the Big Bang, are important in explaining the BAU via the EWBG mechanism. The condition that the baryon excess generated at the EWPT not be washed out requires a strongly first order EWPT. In this model, EWPT can be two step [63], where there is large barrier at the tree level between $\langle H\rangle \neq 0$, $\langle S\rangle = 0$ and $\langle H\rangle = 0$, $\langle S\rangle \neq 0$. The effective potential at the finite temperature can be written in terms of the background fields h and s,

$$V_T \approx -\frac{1}{2}(\mu_h^2 - \Pi_h)h^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda h^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_1 h^2 s^2 - \frac{1}{2}(2\mu_a^2 - \mu_s^2 - \Pi_s)s^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_s s^4 . \qquad (7)$$

where Π_h and Π_s are thermal masses of h and s respectively, and can be written as

$$\Pi_h = \left\{\frac{3g^2 + g'^2}{16} + \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{y_t^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda_1}{12}\right\} T^2 ,$$



FIG. 3: Parameter space in the $m_s - \lambda_1$ plane for the strongly first order EWPT, The solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to $v_c/T_C = 1, 3, 5$ respectively.

$$\Pi_s = \left\{\frac{\lambda_s}{3} + \frac{\lambda_1}{6}\right\} T^2 \,.$$

Interactions in Eq. (2) have negligible contribution to thermal masses for two reasons: (1) only quadratically divergent self-energy diagrams at zero temperature contribute to Debye mass [60]; (2) $M_{\tilde{Q},\tilde{T}}$ are larger than the critical temperature, which is about $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV.

We focus on the parameter space of $2m_a^2 - m_s^2 > 0$ and $1/2\lambda_1 v^2 - 2m_a^2 + m_s^2 > 0$, where s gets no VEV at $T = 0$ but gets non-zero VEV at finite temperature. There are two types of minima: $(v_h,\ 0)$ and $(0,\ v_s)$. Conditions for the strongly first order EWPT are

$$V_T(v_h(T_C), 0, T_C) = V_T(0, v_s(T_C), T_C),\ \&\ \frac{v_h(T_C)}{T_C} \geq 1 \,. \quad (8)$$

In Fig. 3, we show in the $m_s - \lambda_1$ plane, the parameter space, that might give rise to a strongly first oder EWPT by assuming $\lambda_s = 0.5$. The solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to $v_C/T_C = 1,\ 3,\ 5$ respectively. Region above the solid line is able to generate a strongly first oder EWPT.

EWBG In this model, three Sarkharov conditions are realized as follows: First, the two-step EWPT can be strongly first oder, which guarantees a departure from thermal equilibrium at $T \sim 100$ GeV. Second, CP-asymmetric charge densities might be produced by the CP-violating interactions of scalar quarks at the expanding bubble wall, where VEVs are space-time dependent. CP asymmetries, diffused into the plasma, can be converted into a net density of left-handed fermions, n_L,



FIG. 4: Diagrams for the CP-violating source terms.

through inelastic tri-scalar interactions and Yukawa interactions. Third, baryon asymmetry will be generated through the weak sphaleron process in the presence of nonzero n_L, which, once captured by the expanding bubbles, will be preserved since strongly first oder EWPT quenches the sphaleron transitions inside the bubble.

Working in the closed-time-path formalism [64], we calculate CP-violating source terms under the "VEV-insertion" approximation [65, 66]. Relevant diagrams are given in Fig. 4. The source term can then be written as

$$S^{\rm CPV}_{\tilde{T}} = \frac{N_c v^2 \dot{v}_s}{2\pi^2} {\rm Im}[A_3^* A_4] \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{\omega_{\tilde{Q}} \omega_{\tilde{T}}} \times \quad (9)$$
$${\rm Im}\left\{\frac{n_B(\varepsilon^*_{\tilde{T}}) - n_B(\varepsilon_{\tilde{Q}})}{(\varepsilon_{\tilde{Q}} - \varepsilon^*_{\tilde{T}})^2} + \frac{n_B(\varepsilon_{\tilde{T}}) + n_B(\varepsilon_{\tilde{Q}})}{(\varepsilon_{\tilde{Q}} + \varepsilon_{\tilde{T}})^2}\right\}$$

where $N_c = 3$ being the color factor, $\omega_X^2 = m_X^2 + k^2$ with m_X the thermal mass of X, $\varepsilon_X = \omega_X - i\Gamma_X$ with Γ_X the decay rate of X. Transport equations can be written as

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{T}^\mu = +\Gamma^\pm_{\tilde{Q}} \left(\frac{\tilde{Q}}{k_{\tilde{Q}}} \pm \frac{\tilde{T}}{k_{\tilde{T}}}\right) - \Gamma^H_{tri} \left(\frac{\tilde{T}}{k_{\tilde{T}}} + \frac{H}{k_H} - \frac{\tilde{Q}}{k_{\tilde{Q}}}\right)$$
$$-\Gamma^{S\tilde{T}}_{tri} \frac{S}{k_S} + S^{\rm CPV}_{\tilde{T}} \quad (10)$$
$$\partial_\mu \tilde{Q}^\mu = -\Gamma^\pm_{\tilde{Q}} \left(\frac{\tilde{Q}}{k_{\tilde{Q}}} \pm \frac{\tilde{T}}{k_{\tilde{T}}}\right) + \Gamma^H_{tri} \left(\frac{\tilde{T}}{k_{\tilde{T}}} + \frac{H}{k_H} - \frac{\tilde{Q}}{k_{\tilde{Q}}}\right)$$
$$-\Gamma^{S\tilde{Q}}_{tri} \frac{S}{k_S} - S^{\rm CPV}_{\tilde{T}} \quad (11)$$
$$\partial_\mu H^\mu = -\Gamma_h \frac{H}{k_H} - \Gamma^t_Y \left(\frac{Q}{k_Q} + \frac{H}{k_H} - \frac{T}{k_T}\right)$$
$$-\Gamma^H_{tri} \left(\frac{\tilde{T}}{k_{\tilde{T}}} + \frac{H}{k_H} - \frac{\tilde{Q}}{k_{\tilde{Q}}}\right) \quad (12)$$
$$\partial_\mu S^\mu = -\Gamma^{S\tilde{Q}}_{tri} \frac{S}{k_S} - \Gamma^{S\tilde{T}}_{tri} \frac{S}{k_S} \quad (13)$$
$$\partial_\mu T^\mu = -\Gamma^t_m \left(\frac{T}{k_T} - \frac{Q}{k_Q}\right) - \Gamma^t_Y \left(\frac{T}{k_T} - \frac{Q}{k_Q} - \frac{H}{k_H}\right)$$
$$-\Gamma_{\rm ss} \left(\frac{T}{k_T} - 2\frac{Q}{k_Q} + 9\frac{B}{k_B}\right) \quad (14)$$
$$\partial_\mu Q^\mu = +\Gamma^t_m \left(\frac{T}{k_T} - \frac{Q}{k_Q}\right) + \Gamma^t_Y \left(\frac{T}{k_T} - \frac{Q}{k_Q} - \frac{H}{k_H}\right)$$
$$+2\Gamma_{\rm ss} \left(\frac{T}{k_T} - 2\frac{Q}{k_Q} + 9\frac{B}{k_B}\right) \quad (15)$$

where X is the number density defined as $X \equiv n_X - n_{\bar{X}}$;

$\partial_\mu X^\mu = v_w \frac{dX}{d\bar{z}} - D_X \frac{d^2X}{d\bar{z}^2}$, with D_X the diffusion constant of X; $\Gamma_{ss} = 16\kappa' \alpha_s^4 T$, being the strong sphaleron rate, with $\kappa' \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$; Γ^H_{tri}, $\Gamma^{S\tilde{T}}_{tri}$ and $\Gamma^{S\tilde{Q}}_{tri}$ are trilinear interaction rates, we refer the reader to Ref. [61] for the calculation of these rates in detail. We give the values for the statistical factors in the massless limit: $k_{\tilde{Q}} = 12$, $k_{\tilde{T}} = 6$, $k_Q = 2k_T = 6$, $k_H = 4$ and $k_S = 2$.

Solution of the Boltzmann equations can be simplified by the following observations: First, baryon number is locally conserved by neglecting electroweak sphaleron from diffusion equations, $\sum_i (Q_i + U_i + D_i) = 0$. Second, Yukawa interactions of the first two generation quarks can be neglected since they satisfy $1/\Gamma_{Y_{u,d}} \gg \tau_{\rm diff} (\equiv \bar{D}/v_w^2)$. The first two generation quarks can only be generated through the strong sphaleron processes: $\bar{t}_L t_R \leftrightarrow \bar{b}_L b_R \sum_i^2 \bar{u}^i_L u^i_R \bar{d}^i_L d^i_R$. Thus one has $Q_1 = Q_2 = -2B = 2(T + Q)$, where B is the number density of right-handed bottom quark.

Eqs. (10)-(15) can be solved numerically using the relaxation method, resulting in nonzero Q and T. The left-handed charge density that biases the weak sphaleron transitions, can be written as $n_L(z) = 5Q(z) + 4T(z)$, which might be converted into a baryon asymmetry through the weak sphaleron process. The final baryon number density takes the form [62],

$$n_B = -n_F \frac{\Gamma_{ws}}{2v_w} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz n_L(z) \exp\left(\frac{15\Gamma_{ws}}{4v_w} z\right) \qquad (16)$$

which describes baryon generation and washout ahead of the bubble wall. $\Gamma_{ws} = 6\kappa\alpha_w^4 T$; v_w is the bubble wall velocity; $n_F = 3$ being the generation of fermions.

We show in Fig. 5 contours of the scaled baryon asymmetry, $Y_B/Y_B^{\rm obs}$, in the $M_{\tilde{Q}} - M_{\tilde{T}}$ plane, by assuming $v_w = 0.25$ and $l_w = 15/T$, which is the bubble wall width, $v_s(T) = 150$ GeV, $v_h(T) = 100$ GeV, $|A_3| = 120$ GeV, $A_4 = 0.15$ and $\mathrm{Arg}[A_3^* A_4] = -\pi/3$. Contours from the top to the bottom correspond to $Y_B/Y_B^{\rm obs} = 0.5$, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 respectively. For the uncolored region in the bottom-right corner, one has $M_{\tilde{T}} - M_{\tilde{Q}} < m_h(T)$, where $m_h(T)$ is the mass of the SM Higgs at the finite temperature, and thus the trilinear interaction is quenched, which results in a null baryon asymmetry. As was shown in Fig. 2, it is possible to generate large enough diphoton signal as $M_X < 480$ GeV, such that one might have both significant baryon asymmetry and diphton excess in the bottom-left region of the Fig. 5.

Finally we study constraints on the model from Higgs measurements, electric dipole moment (EDM) measurements and collider searches of exotic particles. The trilinear interaction, $A_3 \tilde{Q}^\dagger H \tilde{T}$, contributes to both $h \to gg$ and $h \to \gamma\gamma$. It has $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.17 \pm 0.27$ for ATLAS [67] and $1.14^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$ for the CMS [68]. Taking $M_{\tilde{T}} = 450$ GeV, $M_{\tilde{Q}} = 380$ GeV and $|A_3| = 120$ GeV, we have $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.998$, which is consistent with the current bound, and $\mu_{gg} = 0.992$, which might be checked by the future



FIG. 5: Contours of $Y_B/Y_B^{\rm obs}$ in the $M_{\tilde{Q}} - M_{\tilde{T}}$ plane by assuming $A_3 = 120$ GeV, $A_4 = 0.15$ and $\mathrm{Arg}[A_3^* A_4] = -\pi/3$. For inputs of bubble parameters, see text for detail.

Higgs factory CEPC. In MSSM squark loops may generate an elementary EDM, d_f^E, *via* two loop Barr-Zee diagram [69], and d_f^E is proportional to the parity-violating coupling of Higgs with f, $g^P_{h\bar{f}f}$ [70]. Since there is no $g^P_{h\bar{f}f}$ in our model, the CP phase from the trilinear interactions receives null constraint from EDMs. Besides, scalar quarks should couple to the hidden sector, resulting in quark flavored dark matter, so as to avoid the problem raised by long lived charged particles. This interaction is helpful in eluding the collider searches of exotic quarks, similar to the case to stealth supersymmetry [71]. We leave the collider searches of the model to a future work.

Conclusion In this letter, we explained both the 750 GeV diphoton excess and BAU in a general NMSSM induced model. The resonance is a pseudo-scalar singlet, produced through loop-induced gluon fusion with scalar quarks running in the loop. The model accommodates a two step EWPT phase transition which can be strongly first order. In our model, charge asymmetries are generated via CP-violating interactions of scalar quarks at the expanding bubble wall, which might be transferred into an asymmetry of the Higgs density, then be converted into nonzero number densities of left-handed fermions, resulting BAU via the weak sphaleron process. An extensive study of the phenomenology of the model will appear in a forthcoming publication.

This work was supported in part by DOE Grant desc0011095.

* Electronic address: chao@physics.umass.edu

[1] The ATLAS Collaboration, "Search for resonances decaying to photon pairs in 3.2 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector", Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2015-081, CERN, Geneva, Dec, 2015.

[2] The CMS Collaboration, "Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV", Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004, CERN, Geneva, 2015.

[3] L. D. Landau, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. **60**, no. 2, 207 (1948). doi:10.1016/B978-0-08-010586-4.50070-5

[4] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **77**, 242 (1950). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.77.242

[5] R. Franceschini *et al.*, arXiv:1512.04933 [hep-ph].

[6] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, arXiv:1512.04850 [hep-ph].

[7] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi and A. Djouadi, arXiv:1512.04913 [hep-ph].

[8] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti and D. Redigolo, arXiv:1512.04917 [hep-ph].

[9] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi and G. Moreau, arXiv:1512.04921 [hep-ph].

[10] Y. Nakai, R. Sato and K. Tobioka, arXiv:1512.04924 [hep-ph].

[11] S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci and K. Zurek, arXiv:1512.04928 [hep-ph].

[12] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo and D. Marzocca, arXiv:1512.04929 [hep-ph].

[13] A. Pilaftsis, arXiv:1512.04931 [hep-ph].

[14] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola and M. Raidal, arXiv:1512.04939 [hep-ph].

[15] T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1512.05295 [hep-ph].

[16] S. D. McDermott, P. Meade and H. Ramani, arXiv:1512.05326 [hep-ph].

[17] J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz and T. You, arXiv:1512.05327 [hep-ph].

[18] M. Low, A. Tesi and L. T. Wang, arXiv:1512.05328 [hep-ph].

[19] B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala and J. Serra, arXiv:1512.05330 [hep-ph].

[20] R. S. Gupta, S. J?ger, Y. Kats, G. Perez and E. Stamou, arXiv:1512.05332 [hep-ph].

[21] C. Petersson and R. Torre, arXiv:1512.05333 [hep-ph].

[22] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino and N. Vignaroli, arXiv:1512.05334 [hep-ph].

[23] B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze and T. Li, arXiv:1512.05439 [hep-ph].

[24] Q. H. Cao, Y. Liu, K. P. Xie, B. Yan and D. M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.05542 [hep-ph].

[25] S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki, arXiv:1512.05564 [hep-ph].

[26] A. Kobakhidze, F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.05585 [hep-ph].

[27] R. Martinez, F. Ochoa and C. F. Sierra, arXiv:1512.05617 [hep-ph].

[28] P. Cox, A. D. Medina, T. S. Ray and A. Spray, arXiv:1512.05618 [hep-ph].

[29] D. Becirevic, E. Bertuzzo, O. Sumensari and R. Z. Funchal, arXiv:1512.05623 [hep-ph].

[30] J. M. No, V. Sanz and J. Setford, arXiv:1512.05700 [hep-ph].

[31] S. V. Demidov and D. S. Gorbunov, arXiv:1512.05723 [hep-ph].

[32] W. Chao, R. Huo and J. H. Yu, arXiv:1512.05738 [hep-ph].

[33] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff and C. Royon, arXiv:1512.05751 [hep-ph].

[34] D. Curtin and C. B. Verhaaren, arXiv:1512.05753 [hep-ph].

[35] L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu and J. Shu, arXiv:1512.05759 [hep-ph].

[36] J. Chakrabortty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal and T. Srivastava, arXiv:1512.05767 [hep-ph].

[37] P. Agrawal, J. Fan, B. Heidenreich, M. Reece and M. Strassler, arXiv:1512.05775 [hep-ph].

[38] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz and J. Terning, arXiv:1512.05776 [hep-ph].

[39] A. Falkowski, O. Slone and T. Volansky, arXiv:1512.05777 [hep-ph].

[40] D. Aloni, K. Blum, A. Dery, A. Efrati and Y. Nir, arXiv:1512.05778 [hep-ph].

[41] Y. Bai, J. Berger and R. Lu, arXiv:1512.05779 [hep-ph];

[42] W. Chao, arXiv:1512.06297.

[43] C. Han, H. M. Lee, M. Park and V. Sanz, arXiv:1512.06376; S. Chang, arXiv:1512.06426; M. Dhuria and G. Goswami, arXiv:1512.06782; H. Han, S. Wang and S. Zheng, arXiv:1512.06562; D. Bardhan, D. Bhatia, A. Chakraborty, U. Maitra, S. Raychaudhuri and T. Samui, arXiv:1512.06674; T. F. Feng, X. Q. Li, H. B. Zhang and S. M. Zhao, arXiv:1512.06696; W. S. Cho, D. Kim, K. Kong, S. H. Lim, K. T. Matchev, J. C. Park and M. Park, arXiv:1512.06824; D. Barducci, A. Goudelis, S. Kulkarni and D. Sengupta, arXiv:1512.06842; I. Chakraborty and A. Kundu, arXiv:1512.06508; R. Ding, L. Huang, T. Li and B. Zhu, arXiv:1512.06560; X. F. Han and L. Wang, arXiv:1512.06587; O. Antipin, M. Mojaza and F. Sannino, arXiv:1512.06708; F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.06715; J. Cao, C. Han, L. Shang, W. Su, J. M. Yang and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.06728; F. P. Huang, C. S. Li, Z. L. Liu and Y. Wang, arXiv:1512.06732; X. J. Bi, Q. F. Xiang, P. F. Yin and Z. H. Yu, arXiv:1512.06787; L. Berthier, J. M. Cline, W. Shepherd and M. Trott, arXiv:1512.06799; M. Bauer and M. Neubert, arXiv:1512.06828; M. Chala, M. Duerr, F. Kahlhoefer and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, arXiv:1512.06833; S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi and A. Vicente, arXiv:1512.06878; P. S. B. Dev and D. Teresi, arXiv:1512.07243; J. de Blas, J. Santiago and R. Vega-Morales, arXiv:1512.07229; C. W. Murphy, arXiv:1512.06976; A. E. C. Hernndez and I. Nisandzic, arXiv:1512.07165; W. C. Huang, Y. L. S. Tsai and T. C. Yuan, arXiv:1512.07268; S. Moretti and K. Yagyu, arXiv:1512.07462; K. M. Patel and P. Sharma, arXiv:1512.07468; M. Badziak, arXiv:1512.07497; S. Chakraborty, A. Chakraborty and S. Raychaudhuri, arXiv:1512.07527; Q. H. Cao, S. L. Chen and P. H. Gu, arXiv:1512.07541; W. Altmannshofer, J. Galloway, S. Gori, A. L. Kagan, A. Martin and J. Zupan, arXiv:1512.07616; M. Cvetič, J. Halverson and P. Langacker, arXiv:1512.07622; J. Gu and Z. Liu, arXiv:1512.07624; B. C. Allanach, P. S. B. Dev, S. A. Renner and K. Sakurai, arXiv:1512.07645 [hep-ph]; H. Davoudiasl and C. Zhang, arXiv:1512.07672 [hep-ph]; K. Das and S. K. Rai, arXiv:1512.07789 [hep-ph];

K. Cheung, P. Ko, J. S. Lee, J. Park and P. Y. Tseng, arXiv:1512.07853 [hep-ph]; J. Liu, X. P. Wang and W. Xue, arXiv:1512.07885 [hep-ph]; J. Zhang and S. Zhou, arXiv:1512.07889 [hep-ph]; J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa and J. M. Moreno, arXiv:1512.07895 [hep-ph]; L. J. Hall, K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, arXiv:1512.07904 [hep-ph].

[44] G. Li, Y. n. Mao, Y. L. Tang, C. Zhang, Y. Zhou and S. h. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08255 [hep-ph]; M. Son and A. Urbano, arXiv:1512.08307 [hep-ph]; Y. L. Tang and S. h. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08323 [hep-ph]; H. An, C. Cheung and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08378 [hep-ph]; J. Cao, F. Wang and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08392 [hep-ph]; F. Wang, W. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang and M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08434 [hep-ph]; C. Cai, Z. H. Yu and H. H. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08440 [hep-ph]; Q. H. Cao, Y. Liu, K. P. Xie, B. Yan and D. M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08441 [hep-ph]; J. Gao, H. Zhang and H. X. Zhu, arXiv:1512.08478 [hep-ph]; W. Chao, arXiv:1512.08484 [hep-ph]; X. J. Bi *et al.*, arXiv:1512.08497 [hep-ph]; F. Goertz, J. F. Kamenik, A. Katz and M. Nardecchia, arXiv:1512.08500 [hep-ph]; P. S. B. Dev, R. N. Mohapatra and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08507 [hep-ph]; N. Bizot, S. Davidson, M. Frigerio and J.-L. Kneur, arXiv:1512.08508 [hep-ph].

[45] L. E. Ibanez and V. Martin-Lozano, arXiv:1512.08777 [hep-ph]; S. K. Kang and J. Song, arXiv:1512.08963 [hep-ph]; Y. Hamada, T. Noumi, S. Sun and G. Shiu, arXiv:1512.08984 [hep-ph]; S. Kanemura, K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada, Y. Orikasa, S. C. Park and R. Watanabe, arXiv:1512.09048 [hep-ph]; Y. Jiang, Y. Y. Li and T. Liu, arXiv:1512.09127 [hep-ph]; K. Kaneta, S. Kang and H. S. Lee, arXiv:1512.09129 [hep-ph]; A. Dasgupta, M. Mitra and D. Borah, arXiv:1512.09202 [hep-ph]; A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, arXiv:1512.05771 [hep-ph]; U. K. Dey, S. Mohanty and G. Tomar, arXiv:1512.07212 [hep-ph]; A. Salvio and A. Mazumdar, arXiv:1512.08184 [hep-ph].

[46] M. T. Arun and P. Saha, arXiv:1512.06335 [hep-ph]; S. Kanemura, N. Machida, S. Odori and T. Shindou, arXiv:1512.09053 [hep-ph]; J. Chang, K. Cheung and C. T. Lu, arXiv:1512.06671 [hep-ph]; J. J. Heckman, arXiv:1512.06773 [hep-ph]; E. Gabrielli, K. Kannike, B. Mele, M. Raidal, C. Spethmann and H. Veerm?e, arXiv:1512.05961 [hep-ph]; A. E. C. Hernndez, arXiv:1512.09092 [hep-ph]; A. Alves, A. G. Dias and K. Sinha, arXiv:1512.06091 [hep-ph]; K. Ghorbani and H. Ghorbani, arXiv:1601.00602 [hep-ph].

[47] W. Chao, arXiv:1601.00633 [hep-ph].

[48] H. Ito, T. Moroi and Y. Takaesu, arXiv:1601.01144 [hep-ph]; H. Zhang, arXiv:1601.01355 [hep-ph]; A. Berlin, arXiv:1601.01381 [hep-ph]; S. Bhattacharya, S. Patra, N. Sahoo and N. Sahu, arXiv:1601.01569 [hep-ph]; D. Borah, S. Patra and S. Sahoo, arXiv:1601.01828 [hep-ph]; P. Ko and T. Nomura, arXiv:1601.02490 [hep-ph]; C. Hati, arXiv:1601.02457 [hep-ph]; R. Ding, Z. L. Han, Y. Liao and X. D. Ma, arXiv:1601.02714 [hep-ph]; J. H. Davis, M. Fairbairn, J. Heal and P. Tunney, arXiv:1601.03153 [hep-ph].

[49] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO].

[50] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 18 (2011) doi:10.1088/0067-0049/192/2/18 [arXiv:1001.4538 [astro-ph.CO]].

[51] A. D. Sakharov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **5**, 32 (1967) [JETP Lett. **5**, 24 (1967)] [Sov. Phys. Usp. **34**, 392 (1991)] [Usp. Fiz. Nauk **161**, 61 (1991)]. doi:10.1070/PU1991v034n05ABEH002497

[52] D. E. Morrissey and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, New J. Phys. **14**, 125003 (2012) doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/12/125003 [arXiv:1206.2942 [hep-ph]]; A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **43**, 27 (1993) doi:10.1146/annurev.ns.43.120193.000331 [hep-ph/9302210]; M. Carena, M. Quiros and C. E. M. Wagner, Phys. Lett. B **380**, 81 (1996) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00475-3 [hep-ph/9603420]; G. W. Anderson and L. J. Hall, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2685 (1992). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.45.2685; P. Huet and E. Sather, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 379 (1995) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.51.379 [hep-ph/9404302]; M. Trodden, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 1463 (1999) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.71.1463 [hep-ph/9803479]; W. Chao and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, arXiv:1503.00028 [hep-ph]; W. Chao, JCAP **1508**, no. 08, 055 (2015) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/08/055 [arXiv:1411.5575 [hep-ph]]; W. Chao and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, JHEP **1410**, 180 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)180 [arXiv:1406.0517 [hep-ph]].

[53] J. Engel, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf and U. van Kolck, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **71**, 21 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2013.03.003 [arXiv:1303.2371 [nucl-th]].

[54] U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie and A. M. Teixeira, Phys. Rept. **496**, 1 (2010) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2010.07.001 [arXiv:0910.1785 [hep-ph]].

[55] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane and S. Dawson, Front. Phys. **80**, 1 (2000).

[56] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], arXiv:1507.05930 [hep-ex].

[57] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], arXiv:1509.00389 [hep-ex].

[58] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **92**, 092004 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.092004 [arXiv:1509.04670 [hep-ex]].

[59] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 5, 052007 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052007 [arXiv:1407.1376 [hep-ex]].

[60] D. Comelli and J. R. Espinosa, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6253 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.6253 [hep-ph/9606438].

[61] V. Cirigliano, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, S. Tulin and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 115009 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.115009 [hep-ph/0603058].

[62] P. Huet and A. E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4578 (1996) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.53.4578 [hep-ph/9506477].

[63] J. R. Espinosa, T. Konstandin and F. Riva, Nucl. Phys. B **854**, 592 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.09.010 [arXiv:1107.5441 [hep-ph]]; J. R. Espinosa, B. Gripaios, T. Konstandin and F. Riva, JCAP **1201**, 012 (2012) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/01/012 [arXiv:1110.2876 [hep-ph]]; H. H. Patel and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 035013 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.035013 [arXiv:1212.5652 [hep-ph]]; J. M. Cline and K. Kainulainen, JCAP **1301**, 012 (2013) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/01/012 [arXiv:1210.4196 [hep-ph]].

[64] K. c. Chou, Z. b. Su, B. l. Hao and L. Yu, Phys. Rept. **118**, 1 (1985). doi:10.1016/0370-1573(85)90136-X

[65] C. Lee, V. Cirigliano and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys.

Rev. D **71**, 075010 (2005) [hep-ph/0412354].
[66] A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 095009 [hep-ph/9803357].
[67] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 11, 112015 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.112015 [arXiv:1408.7084 [hep-ex]].
[68] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 10, 3076 (2014) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3076-z [arXiv:1407.0558 [hep-ex]].
[69] S. M. Barr and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 21 (1990) [Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2920 (1990)]. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.21
[70] J. R. Ellis, J. S. Lee and A. Pilaftsis, JHEP **0810**, 049 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/049 [arXiv:0808.1819 [hep-ph]].
[71] J. Fan, M. Reece and J. T. Ruderman, JHEP **1111**, 012 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)012 [arXiv:1105.5135 [hep-ph]].